

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/664,988	09/17/2003		Toru Kimura	14157-012001	4635
26161	7590	07/15/2005	•	EXAMINER	
FISH & R 225 FRAN		SON PC	COLE, ELIZABETH M		
BOSTON,		0		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				1771	

DATE MAILED: 07/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	\mathfrak{d}	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/664,988	KIMURA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Elizabeth M. Cole	1771
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·	
Disposition of Claims	•	
4) Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-12</u> is/are withdrawn	i from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119/a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 00 0.0.0. 3 1 70(a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		
application from the International Bureau	·	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.
	, , ==	
	,	
Attachment(s)) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
Notice of References Cited (P10-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/17/03.	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)
. Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 10/664,988

Art Unit: 1771

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-6 in the reply filed on 6/22/05 is acknowledged.

2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1 the structure of the claimed molded article is not clear, because it is not clear what is meant by the limitation "the fiber cloth is oriented along the surface of the polymer". Does this mean that the cloth is located at the surface and is not fully embedded in the polymer? Also, which surface is being referred? Also, it is not clear what is meant by fibers "oriented in a direction crossing with the fiber cloth". Does this refer to fibers which are in the same plane as the cloth or does it refer to fibers which are perpendicular to the cloth in a different plane? In claim 2, it is not clear what is meant by "the fibers are oriented in a direction substantially perpendicular to each of the fiber cloth". As set forth above, it is not clear how the fibers are oriented relative to the cloth.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2002212310 A, abstract and machine translation attached. JP '310 discloses a molded article comprising a polymeric material which may be reinforced with a fabric,

Application/Control Number: 10/664,988

Art Unit: 1771

(paragraph 0056) and which further comprises short fibers which may be oriented in a single direction by the application of a magnetic field, (paragraph 0017). The fibers have a length of 10 mm of less and the claimed anisotropic diamagnetic susceptibility, (paragraphs 0017 and 0018). The fibers may comprise organic fibers, (paragraph 0017). JP '310 differs from the claimed invention because it does not clearly state that the fibers are oriented in a direction crossing the fabric, however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have chosen the direction of orientation of the fibers so that they provided optimum reinforcement to the molded article.

- 5. A translation of JP '310 has been ordered and will be included with the next office action.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent No. 5,638,870; US Patent Application Publication 2002/0090501; U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0158332.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth M. Cole whose telephone number is (571) 272-1475. The examiner may be reached between 6:30 AM and 6:00 PM Monday through Wednesday, and 6:30 AM and 2 PM on Thursday.

Mr. Terrel Morris, the examiner's supervisor, may be reached at (571) 272-1478.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The fax number for all official faxes is (571) 273-8300.

Elizabeth M. Cole Primary Examiner Art Unit 1771

e.m.c